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Transnasal Neuroendoscopy
The endoscopic endonasal transsphenoidal binosstral approach: Concept and surgical technique

“Every step of the procedure must be conducted under the eye of the operator”
Harvey Cushing, The Pituitary Body and its Disorders, 1912

Around the world, the majority of neurosurgeons use the traditional microsurgical approach in transsphenoidal surgery. The main advantage of this method is the neurosurgeon’s familiarity with the microscope as a standard piece of equipment in neurosurgical procedures. However, there are two major drawbacks to the traditional microsurgical transsphenoidal technique: limited maneuverability of instruments and significantly reduced visual control of dissection due to the long and narrow surgical corridor created by the nasal speculum.

However, Harvey Cushing pointed out almost 100 years ago, direct visual control of precise, unimpeded manipulation is vital for neurosurgery.

It is precisely in the area of visualization and manipulation where transsphenoidal endoscopy offers an advantage: endoscopes offer increased light intensity and clear representation of patho-anatomical details in hidden parts of the deep surgical field. In addition, the fact that a nasal speculum surgical dissection is not impeded and the instruments are freely mobile.

This practical atlas describes basic endoscopic principles, relevant anatomy and surgical methods in transsphenoidal neurosurgery. Illustrative cases demonstrate four different adenomas of the pituitary gland, operated through four different endoscopic approaches. Our goal is to increase familiarity with these minimally invasive technologies, thus providing effective assistance in introducing endoscopes into daily routine treatment.
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Transsphenoidal surgery for removal of a space-occupying pituitary tumor was first performed in 1907 by Hermann Schloffer in Innsbruck. Schloffer had to use a broad approach to expose the central skull base: after a perinasal skin incision and external rhinotomy, he removed the nasal septum, all turbinates and the ethmoid bone with the medial orbital wall on both sides (Fig. 1).

Such extensive exposure was required in order to provide both illumination and space for the use of general surgical instruments. Today, it is quite impossible to imagine that Schloffer dissected almost blindly within the deep-seated field with very limited illumination and no magnification tools, using a simple stick for palpation of the tumor tissue. In 1910, Harvey Cushing, still operating in Baltimore, described a less traumatic technique using self-made instruments and a head-mounted lamp for his transsphenoidal macro-surgical approach (Fig. 2). With this method, Cushing demonstrated a marked improvement in postoperative results, reporting on 231 operations with a 5.6% mortality rate. Concurrent with Cushing, Oscar Hirsch in Vienna pioneered the endonasal transsphenoidal approach to the sellar region, and, in fact, completed his first procedure on the same day, June 3rd 1910, that Cushing performed his first sublabial procedure. However, due to the restricted possibilities of the transsphenoidal approach, Cushing was never fully satisfied with it and, for most cases of pituitary tumors, he preferred the subfrontal approach. Norman Dott from Edinburgh, one of Cushing’s students, was even more influential in pioneering and promoting the transsphenoidal surgery of pituitary adenomas than his teacher. He added to the limited available technology of his time by developing an illuminated nasal speculum and other nasal instruments to further augment the approach. In addition, the evolution of preoperative diagnostic tools, neurosurgical instruments and intraoperative illumination devices gave rise to a tremendous development in neurosurgical techniques, making such interventions less dangerous and less traumatic.

The real revolution in illumination of the surgical field was the introduction of operating microscopes in the 1960’s and early 1970’s. Dwight Parkinson, one of the pioneers of microneurosurgery, pinpointed the advantages of this new device: “… the neurosurgical section borrowed an operative microscope from the otolaryngology department. The microscope provided us with the enormous advantages of coaxial illumination, magnification, and simultaneous viewing for the surgeon and resident”. The first neurosurgeon to use an operating microscope for transsphenoidal surgery was Jules Hardy in 1962. In the classic publication “Microsurgery, Applied to Neurosurgery”, edited by M. Gazi Yasargil in 1969, Hardy reported on details of the technique having performed several operations with improved surgical effectiveness

---

**Fig. 1** Hermann Schloffer’s transnasal macrosurgical approach described in 1907. Note the traumatic exposure for removal of an extended pituitary adenoma. To overcome the limited visibility, Schloffer used a stick to measure the depth and to palpate the tumour tissue.

**Fig. 2** Harvey Cushing, operating via a sublabial-transnasal approach in 1923. Note the head-mounted lamp and use of specially designed instruments.
and safety (Fig. 3). Hardy championed the transsphenoidal approach for pituitary adenomas and described the “benignity of this method and quality of its results”. In 1971, Hardy also declared the transsphenoidal microsurgical approach to be the standard treatment for pituitary tumours.

Recognition of the potentials of surgical microscopes led to a renaissance of the transsphenoidal approach in the late 1970’s that has continued to this day. However, it is interesting to note that, despite major advances in preoperative diagnostics, microneurosurgical techniques, microscopes and neuronavigation devices, transsphenoidal surgery has not demonstrated a marked improvement since Hardy’s first description. The international gold standard remains transseptal exposure of the sphenoid sinus with either sublabial or septal incision of the mucosa.

One of the main advantages of the microscope is its familiarity to neurosurgeons as a standard piece of equipment used for the majority of neurosurgical procedures. The microscopic view is three-dimensional, which is of enormous importance during tumor resection, and the zoom and focus features are also beneficial. The benefit of a microscope to the surgeon’s comfort should not be underestimated! Nevertheless, there are two major drawbacks to the traditional microsurgical transsphenoidal approach: (1) limited maneuverability of instruments due to the long and narrow surgical corridor created by the nasal speculum and (2) limited visual control of dissection because of the reduced light intensity in the deep-seated operating field (Fig. 4A).

1) Due to the predefined surgical pathway given by the nasal speculum, the corridor of dissection cannot be changed during surgery; the narrow space between the blades of the speculum causes an almost coaxial view of the instruments and very little free movement within the deep-seated field. Note that the speculum acts much like a blinker for a horse, thus narrowing the angle of vision and manipulation.

2) The neurosurgeon must be able to see anatomical structures if he is to save them and must be able to recognise pathologies if he is to attack them. The second main difficulty of microsurgical transsphenoidal approaches is the loss of intraoperative light and sight through the long and narrow surgical pathway. The surgeon cannot see around corners, causing significantly reduced visual control. To bring light into the surgical field and control micro-instruments, modern endoscopes represent an effective replacement for surgical microscopes. The four main advantages of endoscopes are as follows: 1) increased light intensity, 2) an extended viewing angle with potential direct visualization of hidden parts of the field, 3) a clear depiction of details in close-up positions and 4) a thick focus field (Fig. 4B).
The absence of the nasal speculum and improved endoscopic visualization solve the main problem of the microsurgical technique, namely the limited control of tumor removal in blind corners of the surgical field. The view through the operating microscope allows a purely coaxial visualization: laterally located structures are concealed behind the nasal speculum, resulting in uncontrolled surgery. However, blind tumor removal involves a high risk of iatrogenic damage to neurovascular structures and a possible increase in tumor remnants. With the intraoperative use of endoscopes, these laterally located parts of the field are directly visible and therefore surgically approachable.

The first attempt to use an endoscope for transsphenoidal pituitary surgery dates back to 1963 when Gérard Guiot in Paris, supplemented the microsurgical exploration of the sellar region with an endoscope. He used an endoscope with a powerful external quartz rod illumination. This apparatus functioned as a focused light source and generated a well illuminated field. It is interesting to note that, after the initial efforts of Guiot, no further data regarding the use of an endoscope for transsphenoidal surgery were reported for more than 20 years. Michael Apuzzo from Los Angeles, introduced and promoted the "side-viewing telescope" in 1977, and Eckard Halves with Karl August Bushe in Würzburg, described the successful resection of sellar tumors using a microscope with the endoscope as an adjunct. Other surgeons realized the limited visualization in transcranial surgery: in 1974, Werner Prrott from Würzburg performed diagnostic endoscopic cisternoscopy of the cerebellopontine angle, and Falk Oppel, who, at the time, was operating in Berlin, used an endoscope during microvascular trigeminal decompression.
in 1981. In 1978, it was the renowned neurosurgeon Takanori Fukushima, at that time in Japan and later in Virginia, who described spinal endoscopy and cisternoscopy as well as endoscopy of the Meckel’s cave, cisterna magna and cerebellopontine angle. All of the above can be regarded as the first forays into endoscope-assisted microneurosurgery, which, along with other neuroendoscopic techniques, experienced a revival in the 1990’s.

The primary innovator of the endoscope-assisted technique was Axel Perneeczky from Mainz, who published several key papers on this topic (Fig. 5). In 1993, Perneeczky organized the first international congress on minimally invasive and endoscopic techniques in Wiesbaden, Germany (Fig. 6). At this meeting, several papers were presented: Andre Grotenhuis from Nijmegen reported on endoscope-assisted surgery of pituitary tumors and aneurysms, Engelbert Knosp from Vienna and Mamoru Taneda from Osaka on technical considerations of neuroendoscopy.

Although endoscope-assisted techniques were reported, the pure endoscopic transsphenoidal approach was not introduced and popularized until the early to mid 1990’s. The pure endoscopic technique refers to surgery in which the endoscope is the only device used for visualization; the microscope is not used for any part of the procedure. After Roger Jankowsky and co-workers from Nancy first described this pure endoscopic technique in 1992, Drahambir Sethi and Prem Pillay from Singapore reported back on their initial experience with 40 patients in 1995 and in 1996, Hae-Dong Jho and Ricardo Carrau from the University of Pittsburgh Medical Centre reported on their experience with the first 50 patients.

The fruitful collaboration between neurosurgeon Jho and otorhinolaryngologist Carrau played an important role in development of the technique. To be exact, rhinological surgeons were historically more familiar with nasal endoscopic techniques. The first pioneer in endoscopy of the nasal cavity was Walter Messerklinger, founder of the technique of systematic endoscopic investigation of the nasal and paranasal cavities (Fig. 7). The school in Graz, with his student and later chairman Heinz Stammberger, developed the technique following essential advances in surgical instrumentation. Wolfgang Draf in Fulda also popularized the use of modern endoscopes for nasal and paranasal surgery. In the USA, Charles Gross of Charlottesville, and David Kennedy from the University of Pennsylvania, were pioneers of the technique and coined the term “functional endoscopic sinus surgery” (FESS).

More recently, due to major advances in otorhinolaryngological and neurosurgical endoscopy, Guiot’s basic idea has been reconsidered using the method of pure endoscopy in transsphenoidal surgery and has gained widespread popularity. Following a similar evolution to microsurgical approaches, endoscopic techniques were initially restricted to dealing with
PITUITARY ADENOMAS
ARE NOT AMONG THE FIRST TO REPORT ON THEIR EXPERIENCES WITH THE USE OF A PURE ENDOSCOPIC TECHNIQUE, INTRODUCING THE TERM "FUNCTIONAL ENDOSCOPIC PITUITARY SURGERY" (FEPS). THEIR CONTRIBUTION CANNOT BE STRESSED ENOUGH, AS THEY DESCRIBED ANATOMICAL BASICS AND SURGICAL TECHNIQUES AND DEVELOPED DEDICATED INSTRUMENTATION FOR TRANSNASAL ENDOSCOPIC USE (FIG. 8).

Within the last few years, thanks to the introduction of technical adjuncts such as novel endoscopes, instruments and neuronavigation tools, endoscopic transsphenoidal surgery has been extended to the treatment of lesions outside the sella turcica, introducing the concept of "extended approaches" to the skull base. Several groups have dealt with these extended endoscopic approaches, which expose intracranial lesions via the endonasal transsphenoidal route. Giorgio Frank and Ernesto Pasquini from Bologna developed ethmoid-pterigoid-sphenoid exposure for the treatment of lateral situated lesions. Also in Italy, Davide Locatelli and Paolo Castelnuovo from Pavia described perspectives and realities on approaches to the cranial base. The New York team with neurosurgeon Theodore Schwarz and otorhinolaryngologist Vijay Anand described successful removal of pure intradural lesions located in the pre- and post-chiasmal cisterns. Neurosurgeon Amin Kassam and otorhinolaryngologist Carl Snydermann described the removal of extended intracranial pathologies using an endoscopic endonasal technique, thus widening the concept of transsphenoidal surgery.

A particular surgical challenge is the transsphenoidal endoscopic removal of intradural lesions using extended approaches. Working groups in Naples, Bologna, Pavia and Pittsburgh have reported on increasing experience in this field, operating on meningiomas, craniopharyngiomas and other tumors. However, in our opinion, the minimal invasiveness of these approaches requires close scrutiny, especially if transcranial keyhole approaches offer less approach-related morbidity when dealing with comparable pathologies. Critical points are 1) approach-related trauma to the nasal cavity, 2) limited maneuverability of surgical instruments with decreased control of microsurgical dissection and 3) enormous problems with skull base reconstruction to avoid postoperative CSF leakage. Nevertheless, the most important contraindication to the extended endonasal approach is surgical experience. The learning curve, particularly in this region, is very steep and requires perfect anatomical knowledge, endoscopic experience and harmonic teamwork between neuro- and rhinosurgeons.
Anatomical background

The key to minimizing damage within the surgical field is an anatomical understanding of the nasal and parasellar regions combined with specific endoscopic experience. A vital part of basic endoscopic training is to identify anatomical landmarks using special visualization and to use these landmarks for appropriate surgical orientation. Thus, the path to transnasal endoscopic surgery leads through an anatomical-endoscopic laboratory involving considerable training on cadavers. In addition to ensuring safe dissection during the first surgically treated cases, this training may help to shorten the initial steep learning curve.

The nasal cavity and epipharynx

The entrance to the nasal cavity is a pear-shaped opening bordered by the nasal and maxillary frontal processes (Fig. 9). The piriform aperture is separated by the nasal septum, an osteocartilaginous and mucous formation with two mostly asymmetrical parts.

The nasal cavity itself is similar in configuration to the piriform aperture offering more space for surgical dissection in the basal part of the chamber (Fig. 10).

Fig. 9 The bony anterior aperture of the nasal cavity. Note the bordering nasal and maxillary bones and the osseous nasal septum. In several cases, severe alterations of the septum can hinder intranasal exploration.

Fig. 10 Coronar sections in a fixed specimen in the anterior (A), middle (B) and posterior (C) portion of the skull base from an anterior view. The nasal cavity itself is similar in configuration to the piriform aperture offering more space for surgical dissection in the basal part of the chamber. Note the nasal septum and the highly irregular and variegated lateral wall of the nasal cavity. The posterior section (C) shows the sphenoid sinus and epipharynx.
The floor of the nasal cavity comprises the maxillary palatine process and the horizontal palatine bone lamina; the medial wall is formed by the septum according to the perpendicular plate of the ethmoid, vomer and, near to the nostril, the quadrangular cartilage. The septum is bordered posterosuperiorly by the body of the sphenoid, following along the free edge of the vomer, at the choana. The narrow superior wall of the nasal cavity corresponds to the cribiform plate of the ethmoid bone (Figs. 10, 11).

The lateral wall is the most complex, forming a highly irregular and variegated anatomy. Six bones are involved, e.g. the maxillary, lacrimal, ethmoid, sphenoid and palatine bones and the inferior nasal turbinate (Figs. 10, 12). The anterior part is created by the compact and thick frontal process of the maxilla and by the nasal bone. The posterior part is similarly stable, formed by the sphenopalatine junction bordering the pterygopalatine fossa anteromedially. Here, in the upper part, is the sphenopalatine foramen, an important neurovascular connection of the pterygopalatine fossa to the nasal cavity (Fig. 12).

**Fig. 11** Paramedian sagittal sections showing the nasal septum in osseous (A) and fixed (B) specimens.

**Fig. 12** The bony lateral wall of the nasal cavity (right side). The anterior portion is created by the compact frontal process of the maxilla and by the nasal bone (I). Similarly stable is the posterior part, formed by the maxillopalatine junction (III). The central part of the lateral wall, created by the inferior turbinate and ethmoid bone, is thin and fragile (II).
The central part of the lateral wall is thin and fragile. The most important anatomical landmarks for endoscopic orientation are located here, namely the turbinates and the spaces lying below them: the upper, middle and lower nasal meati (Figs. 12, 14).

Situated in front of the anterior wall of the sphenoid sinus is the superior turbinate (Fig 14). Here, medial from the superior turbinate, is the natural opening of the sphenoid sinus, the sphenoid aperture. The sphenoid aperture may appear in a different size and form and in a different position in the anterior wall of the sphenoid sinus. A large number of studies have been conducted with the aim of determining average dimensions, but these values differ individually according to the development and dimensions of the sphenoid turbinates. These "mini-turbinates", also called conchae sphenoidea or Bertini-ossicles, develop from the anterior and inferior walls of the sphenoid sinus and close the anterior wall of the sphenoid sinus. If these small bones are poorly developed, one finds large, round apertures situated medially. If the Bertini-ossicles are hard, they tighten the area and the apertures are small and oval with the larger diameter placed horizontally and situated laterally (Fig. 13). The mucous membrane can also further tighten the bony aperture, thus making the identification of these apertures much more difficult.

The posterior ethmoidal cells enter the superior meatus, which is bordered anteriorly by the superior and middle conchae and posteriorly by the sphenethmoidal recess, under the superior concha (Fig. 15).

The middle concha is situated under the superior meatus. The middle turbinate is usually larger than the superior one. Its head is juxtaposed to the frontal process of the maxilla and it descends backwards in an oblique route with its tail tangent to the inferior edge of the sphenopalatine foramen. A characteristic prominence can be observed at the point where the head of the turbinate is inserted corresponding to the region of the agger nasi (Fig. 15).

Under the middle turbinate the highly variable middle meatus can be exposed. The uncinate process is situated in its anterior part. Originating at the agger nasi, the uncinate process ends imperceptibly close to the body of the inferior turbinate. This region, covered mostly by a thin mucosal membrane, is the most fragile part of the lateral nasal cavity. Above the uncinate process is a regular, curved, and superiorly concave area, the semilunar hiatus. The natural opening of the maxillary sinus into the nasal cavity is situated here: an important landmark for lateral and extended skull base approaches.

Fig. 13 Lateral view of the sphenoid sinus in a mediosagittal sectioned osseous specimen, demonstrating the relation of the sphenoid aperture (arrow) to the sphenoid planum and sellar floor (A). Note the superior turbinate and Bertini's "mini-turbinates" according to the anteroinferior sinus wall. The foramen rotundum and Vidian's pterygoid canal are situated on the right lateral wall of the sphenoid sinus. The anterior view (B) shows the relation of the sphenoid aperture to the superior turbinate.
**Fig. 14** The lateral wall of the left nasal cavity in a fixed specimen with the characteristic nasal turbinates. Note the main anatomical connections of the nasal cavity demonstrated by colored wires (red wire: sphenoid aperture; yellow and blue wires: posterior ethmoidal cells; white wire: maxillary sinus; green wire: nasolacrimal duct; orange wire: tuba auditiva).

**Fig. 15** View of the superior and middle meatus after resection of the superior and middle turbinates. Note the posterior ethmoidal cells, agger nasi, and the semilunar hiatus between the ethmoid bulla and uncinate process. The inferior turbinate is voluminous and regular in shape showing a large anterior head followed by a long body that converges to form a thin tail.

**Fig. 16** The nasolacrimal duct entering the inferior meatus is shown using a green wire after partial resection of the inferior turbinate. Note the important landmarks of the epipharynx, e.g. the torus tubarius and the tuba auditiva (orange wire). The Rosenmüller gap is situated between the torus and the posterior wall of the epipharynx.
Above the semilunar gap, the ethmoidal bulla can be observed although exhibiting a highly variable anatomy (Fig. 15). In the very posterior part of the meatus, located just laterally from the tail of the middle turbinate, the sphenopalatine foramen can be identified. This foramen is one of the most important neurosurgical landmarks for identification of the passing septal branch of the sphenopalatine artery.

The inferior turbinate is voluminous and regular in shape, showing a large anterior head followed by a long body converging to form a thin tail (Fig. 15). Below the anterior one third of the turbinate, the funnel-like nasolacrimal duct opens into the inferior meatus (Fig. 16). Dissecting along the inferior border of the nasal cavity through the inferior meatus, one can pass the posterior exit of the nasal cavity: the choana. The significant landmarks of the epiopharynx can be observed here, e.g.: the Rosenmüller gap, torus tubarius and tuba auditiva.

The neurovascular supply of the nasal cavity is an important consideration with regard to the transsphenoidal exposure of the sphenoid sinus and sellar region. Basically, the nasal cavity is supplied by the maxillary artery and nerve which arise from the external carotid artery and trigeminal nerve, complemented by branches of the ophthalmic artery and nerve deriving from the internal carotid artery and trigeminal nerve, respectively. Of particular importance are the olfactory filaments supplying the mucosal covering of the superior conchae, superior meati and the sphenoethmoidal recess on both sides.

Fig. 17 Anterior view of the right septal artery. The vessel passes the sphenopalatine foramen and ascends medially towards the lower part of the anterior wall of the sphenoid sinus. The bony anterior wall of the sphenoid sinus is partially removed allowing observation of the intact sphenoid mucosa; note the sphenoid aperture (arrow). Caution is advised when performing a direct endonasal endoscopic approach to the sphenoid sinus: when drilling the anterior wall, the septal artery can be damaged causing severe arterial bleeding.

Fig. 18 Anterior view of the right pterygopalatine fossa. Note the maxillary artery and its terminal branches that supply the lateral nasal cavity and central skull base.
The maxillary artery shows a short lateromedial course within the pterygopalatine fossa giving rise to small branches extending to the round and palatine canals and to the orbit. Still inside the fossa, a few millimetres from the sphenopalatine foramen and thus outside the nasal cavity, the maxillary artery divides into two terminal branches. The main vessels are the septal artery and posterior lateral nasal artery; some publications actually describe these two branches as a common vessel, called the sphenopalatine artery, which enters the nasal cavity through the sphenopalatine foramen (Fig. 17, 18).

As mentioned above, the septal artery passes the superior edge of the sphenopalatine foramen, passing the tail of the middle turbinate and ascends medially towards the anteroinferior part of the anterior wall of the sphenoidal sinus (Fig. 17). When performing a direct transnasal approach to the sphenoid, this course becomes extremely important: drilling of the anterior wall can damage the artery causing bleeding; however, this bleeding should not be confused with fatal damage to the ICA! If direct coagulation of the vessel is complicated, the sphenopalatine artery can be immediately localized in the posterior middle meatus, thus staunching the bleeding. On reaching the nasal septum, the septal artery branches off into the descending nasopalatine artery and to several small caliber ascending branches.

The posterior lateral nasal artery crosses the inferior edge of the sphenopalatine foramen and descends along the lateral wall of the nasal cavity. Main branches supply the middle and inferior turbinates. Some small ascending vessels run to the superior concha, building anastomoses with the posterior ethmoidal arteries. Posterior branches supply the choanal region (Fig. 19).

![Image of nasal arteries](image_url)

**Fig. 19** The posterior lateral nasal artery crosses the sphenopalatine foramen and descends along the lateral wall of the nasal cavity (right side). Main branches supply the middle and inferior turbinates, some small ascending vessels run to the superior concha, building anastomoses with the posterior ethmoidal arteries. Note the posterior branches supplying the choanal region (arrow).
**Sphenoid sinus**

Located in the sphenoid body, the sphenoid sinus is the most posterior paranasal cavity, communicating to the sphenoethmoid recess through the sphenoid apertures (Figs. 10C, 11, 14–16, 20, 22, 23). Pneumatization of the sphenoid bone occurs after enchondral ossification of the sphenoid cartilages. In those places of the sphenoid bone where ossification is incomplete, small vertical, horizontal and sagittal plates remain which can be seen later as variable septa in the sphenoid sinus. Usually, a large, paramedian-sagittal septum separates the cavity into two major parts but does not form a strict barrier (Fig. 20).

Around the sphenoid sinus there are a number of essential anatomical structures which usually extend into the cavity through the bony wall. It is important to note that these structures are not at all variable. It is only their appearance that changes individually according to the degree of pneumatization!

In the midline axis, the sellar floor is the first anatomical landmark with common enlargement in pathological situations (Figs. 10C, 20, 22, 23). In a frontal direction is the planum sphenoidale; between the thin sella and the fragile planum the bone is thick due to the osseous tuberculum sellae. Caudal from the sella, the clivus appears formed by the sphenoid and, in extensive pneumatization, by the occipital bones.

The sphenoid part of the clivus is bordered laterally by the horizontal segments of the ICA. Inferolaterally, the ICA disappears into the petrous bone at the level of the foramen lacerum. Here, due to extensive pneumatization, the pterygoid canal can be identified consisting of the major and deep petrous nerves running lateral from the vessel. This canal, also called the Vidian channel, is an important landmark for localization of the ICA at the level of the foramen lacerum (Figs. 13A, 21, 23 F). In its further course, the ICA enters the cavernous sinus and shows a kinked path. The anterior knee appears in the sphenoid sinus as a prominent swelling. This anterior knee corresponds to the paraclinoid carotid segment located between the proximal and dural rings of the cavernous sinus (Figs. 22, 23). The optic nerve runs into the bony optic canal at the cranio-lateral end of the sphenoid cavity. Lateral from the anterior carotid knee, just below the optic nerve, is the prominent lateral optocarotid recess. However, for surgical orientation, the critical landmark is the medial recess pointing to the region between the anterior fossa, sellar floor, carotid artery and the optic nerve. Note that the medial optocarotid recess corresponds to a “negative intedation” of the middle clinoid process of the sphenoid bone, always located medially from

---

Fig. 20 Median sagittal section in fixed cadaver of a 2-year-old boy (A) and an adult (B). Note the absent pneumatization of the sphenoid body and the sphen-o-occipital synchondrosis in the young child.

---

Fig. 21 Anterior view of the right sphenopalatine junction in bony specimen. Note wires, placed into the foramen rotundum and Vidian’s pterygoid canal as important landmarks leading to the lateral sphenoid sinus, and supraorbital fissure. This anterior view can be compared with the lateral appearance in Fig. 13 (different specimens).
Fig. 22 The sphenoid sinus in the median sagittal section of a fixed specimen. Figure A demonstrates the pituitary gland and stalk in relation to the sella turcica and sphenoid sinus. After removal, the bony lateral wall, characteristic prominences of the lateral wall become evident (B). Focusing deeper after dissection of the dural covering, neurovascular structures of the cavernous sinus can be observed (C).
the ICA. In the lateral part of the sphenoid sinus, the channel-like foramen rotundum with the mandibular branch of the trigeminal nerve can usually be seen (Fig. 23).

Fig. 23 The sphenoid sinus from frontal after removal of the anterior wall. Note the paramedian septations and sellar floor (A). After resection of the bone of the posterolateral sinus wall, surrounding anatomical structures appear. Focusing inferiorly, the clival dura mater and both carotid arteries appear (B); focusing superiority, prominences of the optic nerves can be observed. Note the special relationship between the optic and carotid prominences, sphenoid planum and sellar endosteum (C). Focusing right laterally, the course of the right internal carotid becomes visible (D). Note the intact dural covering of the optic nerve, carotid artery and pituitary gland and appearance of the lateral (white arrow) and medial (red arrow) optocarotid recess. After opening the right cavernous sinus, the internal carotid artery with the sympathetic plexus appears (E). Focusing inferolaterally, the foramen rotundum and Vidian canal appear. Note the pterygopalatine ganglion within the pterygopalatine fossa (F).
The supra- and parasellar regions

In geometric terms, the supra- and parasellar regions are best described in a three-dimensional plane, as a virtual pyramid (Fig. 24). Each of the triangular planes of the sellar pyramid is defined by certain structures. The anterior plane of the pyramid is formed almost completely by the optic nerves, the chiasm and the lamina terminalis. The first segments of the anterior cerebral arteries and the anterior communicating artery are also in direct relationship to this plane. The side of the pyramid includes the optic nerve and tract, the oculomotor nerve, the ICA and its two supraclinoid branches, and the posterior communicating and anterior choroidal arteries. The posterior pyramidal plane is defined by the ventral surface of the brain stem and basilar artery with the posterior cerebral and superior cerebellar arteries. The axis of the pyramid is formed by the infundibulum and pituitary stalk. The base of the pyramid corresponds to the sella turcica with the bilateral cavernous sinus.

From a surgical point of view, the cavernous sinus can be divided into three major anatomical parts (Fig. 25). The anterior part is particularly important when dealing with a transsphenoidal exposure. The dura mater covering the inferior surface of the anterior clinoid process and the proximal dural ring of the ICA form the roof of this anterior part of the cavernous sinus. Just underneath this dural layer are the oculomotor, trochlear and ophthalmic nerves coursing towards the superior orbital fissure. The middle part of the cavernous sinus represents the real venous chamber with structures of the lateral sinus wall consisting of the oculomotor, trochlear and ophthalmic nerves and the underlying horizontal segment of the carotid artery with the abducent nerve. The posterior part involves the region of the petrous bone tip including the Dorello canal with the abducent nerve, the posterior knee of the carotid artery and the Gasserian ganglion.

The branching pattern of the ICA and arterial supply of the pituitary gland is of special surgical interest. The most prominent intracavernous branch, the meningohypophyseal trunk, takes its origin from the ICA in the posterosuperior part of the cavernous sinus and divides into three groups of smaller branches, the tentorial, clival and sellar branches. The sellar branches, also termed inferior hypophyseal arteries, supply the pituitary gland and build an important anastomosis with the supraclinoidal branches of the ICA (Fig. 26).
Fig. 25 Fixed specimen showing the neurovascular structures of the right cavernous sinus after removal of the lateral and upper sinus wall. The oculomotor, trochlear and ophthalmic nerves are retracted; note the intracavernous segment of the abducent nerve running along the internal carotid after passing the Dorelia canal below the Gruber's ligament. From a surgical point of view, the cavernous sinus can be divided into three major anatomical portions: the posterior (a), middle (b) and anterior (c) parts. The anterior part is particularly important for a transsphenoidal exposure.

Fig. 26 Arterial supply of the pituitary gland. Note the superior hypophyseal branches, originating from the supraclinoid carotid artery (A). After removal of the left posterior clinoid process, the inferior hypophyseal and dorsal meningeal arteries can be observed (B). Note the adeno- and neurohypophysis.
Endoscopic anatomy of the nasal cavity and sellar region

In the following, the most important anatomical landmarks of the nasal cavity and para-suprasellar region are demonstrated in a fresh human cadaver using a 4 mm endoscope with a 30° viewing angle (Figs. 27–57).

Fig. 27 Overview of the nasal cavity. The tip of the endoscope is placed into the right nostril. Note the floor and medial wall of the cavity. The inferior turbinate and inferior meatus are clearly apparent.

Fig. 28 The endoscope is introduced along the inferior turbinate approaching the choanal region. Note the posterior tail of the inferior turbinate.

Fig. 29 Approaching the epipharynx, the 30° endoscope is rotated to the right with visualization of the entrance of the tuba auditiva. Note the torus tubarius and the posterior wall of the pharynx.
Fig. 30 Retracting the endoscope, the head of the middle turbinate is exposed. Note the path into the middle meatus.

Fig. 31 Using a 30° endoscope, the middle meatus is observed. Note the junction of the uncinate process with the ethmoidal process of the inferior turbinate. The semilunar hiatus appears between the uncinate process and the ethmoidal bulla, connecting the maxillary sinus with the nasal cavity.

Fig. 32 Following the inferior border of the middle turbinate, its insertion into the pterygoid bone is approached. Note the lower part of the anterior wall of the sphenoid sinus and the sphenoid aperture.

Fig. 33 Moving upwards, the endoscope reaches the sphenethmoidal recess. Note the superior turbinate and the entrance to the sphenoid sinus.
Fig. 34 The superior turbinate is moved to medial exposing the superior meatus. Note the view into the posterior ethmoidal cells.

Fig. 35 Opening the anterior wall of the sphenoid sinus with a diamond drill. Typical placement of drilling is medial from the superior turbinate just inferiorly from the sphenoidal aperture and approx. 1 cm over the choana.

Fig. 36 Clear view into the sphenoid sinus after drilling. Note the left paramedian septum with intact mucosa on the left side. On the right side, we gain a superb overview of the anatomical structures with direct visualization of the optic and carotid prominences, the lateral optocarotid recess and the sellar floor.

Fig. 37 After completing the unilateral exposure, the approach is continued on the contralateral side in a similar manner allowing biportal binastral dissection within the deep-seated surgical field. Note the drill medial from the left superior turbinate.
Fig. 38 View into the sphenoid sinus from the left nostril before removal of the sphenoid septum. Note the impression of both optic nerves and the right lateral optocarotid recess.

Fig. 39 The endoscope is placed through the right nostril, the Kerrison punch is introduced from the left to open the sellar floor. Note the perfect contra-lateral visualization without conflict between the endoscope and instrument.

Fig. 40 Appearance of the sellar endosteum after removal of the bony sellar floor.

Fig. 41 After further bony resection, the anterior knee of the right internal carotid artery is exposed. Note the optic prominence.
Fig. 42  The view of the 30° endoscope is directed frontally and the sphenoid planum is opened observing the dura mater of the anterior fossa.

Fig. 43  After further dissection, the anterior fossa is entered. Note the right gyrus rectus, the olfactory and optic nerves. The proximal optic canal is opened. Note the distal dural ring indicating the border between the paraclinoid and supraclinoid carotid arteries.

Fig. 44  With further removal of the planum, the chiasm and both optic nerves are exposed via the special transsphenoidal route.

Fig. 45  The optic chiasm in a close-up position of the endoscope. In the background, the reddish pituitary stalk can be seen.
Fig. 46 After opening the lamina terminalis cistern, the anterior communicating artery complex is approached.

Fig. 47 The chiasma cistern is carefully opened. The deep-seated prepontine region appears through the anatomical window between the right internal carotid artery and the stalk. Note the dorsum sellae and the right posterior communicating artery in the background.

Fig. 48 The endoscope is introduced through the left stalk – carotid gap. Note the fine remnants of the Liljequist membrane; the basilar tip appears in the background. The left P1 segment of the posterior cerebral artery and the prominent right posterior communicating artery become visible.

Fig. 49 Endoscopic visualization of the distal basilar artery. Note the infundibulum and pituitary stalk.
Fig. 50 The basilar bifurcation in a close-up position. Note the hypoplastic P1 segment of the right posterior cerebral artery, right mammillary body, and the origin of the oculomotor nerve.

Fig. 51 The 30° endoscope is rotated to the right and the left posterior cerebral artery is followed in a lateral direction. Note the special relationship between the left posterior communicating artery, the P2 segment, the optic tract and the A1 segment of the left anterior cerebral artery.

Fig. 52 Following the A1 segment, the left carotid bifurcation is reached.

Fig. 53 Retracting the endoscope, the sphenoid sinus is again exposed. Using a fine drill, the clivus is drilled basal from the pituitary gland visualizing the dura mater of the posterior fossa. Note the proximal and distal dural rings indicating the paraclinoid carotid artery.
Fig. 54 After opening the clival dura, the prepon-tine cistern with the basilar artery appear. Note the relation between the posterior knee of the right in-tracavernous internal carotid and basilar arteries.

Fig. 55 The proximal and distal dural rings are di-vided with microscissors allowing mobilization of the pituitary gland. Note the S-formed course of the intracavernous – paraclinoid – supraclinoid carotid artery (dashed line).

Fig. 56 The pituitary gland is retracted with a fine microdissector allowing endoscopic investigation of the preponpine and interpeduncular regions.

Fig. 57 Close-up investigation of the distal basilar artery. Note the left oculomotor nerve between the posterior cerebral and superior cerebellar arteries.
The endonasal endoscopic approach

Most publications on endoscopic transsphenoidal surgery describe a mononostral-mononostral exposure of the central skull base (Fig. 58A). These mononostral approaches may cause only unilateral manipulation within the nasal cavity; however, they offer several disadvantages. The space within the nasal cavity is very narrow and restricts surgical manipulation: the tip of the endoscope disturbs the free maneuverability of instruments. Because instruments enter the field out of the line of sight of the endoscope, the nasal mucosa along the septum and middle turbinate can be severely damaged in the course of the procedure. To allow adequate dissection, a nasal speculum may be required or removal of the middle and superior turbinates may be necessary. The first causes limited surgical manipulation, the second increased surgical morbidity.

By comparison, the biportal-biostri approach offers free introduction and improved maneuverability of the surgical instruments because the endoscope is placed through the other nostril (Fig. 58B). There is no conflict between endoscope and instrument, the tip of the endoscope does not impede surgical manipulation. In addition, the contralateral positioned endoscope allows optimal visual control of tumor removal. Without using a nasal speculum, surgical manipulation is not restricted with free maneuverability of instruments.

Nevertheless, it is interesting to note here that, despite these undisputable advantages of the endoscopic biportal technique, the endoscopic method is
not in routine use everywhere and neurosurgeons are often reluctant to use it. Neurosurgeons are cautious about an endoscopic endonasal dissection because the permanent contamination of the endoscope with blood and nasal secretions hinders orientation. In addition, the para-endoscopic and biportal dissection is very unfamiliar requiring a steep learning curve that many consider unacceptable. The first frustrating steps add to the growing impatience of surgeons and prompts them to give up!

The three most important factors this novel technique are basic endoscopic experience, applied anatomical knowledge and the use of dedicated equipment. However, in our experience, if neurosurgeons perform transnasal endoscopic operations with rhinosurgical assistance, the learning curve is significantly shorter, resulting in an acceptable operating time and decreased surgical morbidity (Fig. 59). The neurosurgeons main concern is the unfamiliar and highly variegated nasal anatomy with common septal deviations, bulous turbinate, adhesions and other variations. Dealing with the nasal mucosa also represents a challenge for the neurosurgeon: for a rhinosurgeon, this is not a concern!

The productive neuro-rhinosurgical cooperation offers interdisciplinary benefits and a marked improvement in surgical results. Three- or four-hand techniques performed by a skilled team ensures that, the instruments are freely movable in the nasal cavity, thus allowing effective dissection. Certainly, effective interplay is required between the endoscopist and the surgeon: the endoscope should follow the instruments and focus dynamically on the field of interest (Fig. 60A). Alternatively, the endoscope can be fixed in a holding device should the surgeon prefer this (Fig. 60B). Note that as with microscopic surgery, adequate bimanual manipulation is essential for safe and feasible endoscopic dissection, using the freehand or even arm-based technique.

Fig. 59 Neuro- and rhinosurgical teamwork during endoscopic skull base surgery. The procedure is initiated by the rhinosurgeon (RS), creating the endonasal approach; the cooperating neurosurgeon (NS) assists by holding the endoscope. The setup changes in the later course of the procedure, supporting free bimanual neurosurgical dissection. In our experience, this productive collaboration offers interdisciplinary benefit and a marked improvement in surgical results.

Fig. 60A The freehand technique supports unhindered manipulation in the field. Certainly, effective interplay is required between the endoscopist and the surgeon: the endoscope should follow the instruments and focus dynamically on the field of relevance.
Prearrangement and planning of the procedure

Once the decision to perform surgery has been made, the patient should be fully prepared for the operation according to a general checklist (Tab. 1). Clinical prearrangement includes evaluation by a clinical endocrinologist with baseline and functional testing of the pituitary gland, ophthalmologic investigation with visual field testing, rhinological examination with endoscopic nasal investigation and a smell screening test, in addition to detailed radiologic exploration. If necessary, hormonal substitution is administered and local nasal steroids are used ten days prior to surgery to effectively reduce inflammation of the mucosa. For planning the tailored surgical approach, a contrast enhanced MRI and CT with bone window should be performed. The triplanar MRI scans should be used to evaluate, the relationship of the tumor to the nearby neurovascular structures and subarachnoidal spaces. Special attention is given to the diaphragma sellae and potential vascular incorporation of the tumor tissue due to diaphragmal rupture (Fig. 61). MR angiography provides information on the exact course of the surrounding vessels.

Table 1. Preoperative checklist for pituitary surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Endocrinology</th>
<th>Baseline test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Functional pituitary test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Optional hormonal substitution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ophthalmology</td>
<td>Visual field test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhinology</td>
<td>Endoscopic nasal inspection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smell screening test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Optional local steroids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiology</td>
<td>Triplanar MRI +/- contrast incl. 3D dataset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CT with bone window for nasal cavity, paranasal sinuses and skull base</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 61 Three cases of large pituitary macroadenomas with similar suprasellar extension; each with very different patho-anatomical appearance however. In the first case, the sella is enlarged and the diaphragma is intact (A). In the second case, the diaphragma is ruptured with free tumor tissue in the subarachnoid spaces (B). Similarly, in the third case, the tumor is no longer encapsulated, causing severe obstructive hydrocephalus; however, the sella is not enlarged, thus making transsphenoidal removal critical (C). According to preoperative planning, cases A and B were operated transsphenoidally, case C through a transcerebral suprachiasmatic mini-craniotomy.

Fig. 60B Arm-based technique in endonasal endoscopic surgery. The endoscope is fixed in a stable holding arm, allowing feasible bimanual dissection.
CT scan with 0.70 mm or 1 mm axial sections and coronar reconstruction with bone window are obligatory for assessment of the nasal cavity including the paranasal sinuses, sphenoid sinus and the central skull base. Here, intrasphenoid septas and the surrounding vital structures should be estimated thoroughly (Fig. 62). In selected cases, we have used three-dimensional virtual reality workstations to better understand the pathoanatomical situation (Fig. 63).

The role of preoperative approach planning in endoscopic skull base surgery

Using modern diagnostic tools, the specific anatomy and pathology of the individual patient can be precisely visualized and anatomical pathways and surgical corridors determined for optimal surgical access (Fig. 63). Central goal in endoscopic transsphenoidal skull base surgery is not to harm the patient by creating a tailormade approach based on thorough preoperative clinical investigation and detailed planning of the procedure. By choosing the best approach to a specific lesion, surgery-related traumatisation can be dramatically reduced. This may contribute to improved surgical results with less risk of complications following the minimally invasive treatment philosophy.

Preparation of the patient in the operating room

Today’s skull base operating rooms must be large enough to accommodate the patient, the operating personnel and highly sophisticated neurosurgical equipment (Fig. 64).

Preparing the patient in the operating room is the task of the responsible surgeon: take your time for this! Before starting the operation, be sure to inspect the patient’s records, MRI and CT scans again. Check that the endoscope has been prepared thoroughly by checking its optical image quality, including white balance and focusing of the camera unit. Never be tempted to start the procedure without these vital checks!

Fig. 62 Preoperative axial spiral CT with 1 mm sections (A) and coronar reconstruction (B) showing the bony anatomy of the skull base. Careful observation of the bony skull base anatomy is essential when planning transnasal endoscopy. Special attention should be given to the nasal turbinates and the relationship between the nasal cavity and the paranasal sinuses. Within the sphenoid sinus, bony septations must be recognized precisely, giving ideal landmarks for safe orientation.

Fig. 63 Three dimensional virtual reality representation of the large pituitary adenoma of case 61A, simulating the transcranial (A) and transsphenoidal (B) appearance (Dextroscope®, Volume Interactions, Singapore). Using modern diagnostic tools, the specific anatomy and pathology of the individual patient can be precisely analyzed to determine tailored surgical access. In this way the surgical approach can be planned and performed in a minimally invasive way. (Images courtesy of Raif Kockro, University Hospital Zurich, Switzerland, Axel Stadie, University Hospital Mannheim, Germany, and Eicke Schwandt, Neurosurgical Department Mainz, Germany).
Following induction of general anesthesia and oral endotracheal intubation, the patient is now placed in a supine recumbent position on the operating table. The upper part of the body is raised slightly to avoid venous engorgement of the nasal mucosa and congestion of the deep paraseellar sinusoid vessels (Fig. 65). The elevated head is in a neutral position or rotated to some extent towards the surgeon’s side to allow for efficient dissection during the procedure.

After facultative installation of neuronavigation and intraoperative imaging (Fig. 66), the midface including the forehead is disinfected with uncolored solution. We use an aqueous chlorhexidine liquid (Merfen®) for this, the eyes are protected with cream without later draping (Figs. 67A, 73). The nasal cavity is explored with external illumination and also disinfected carefully. Thereafter, using a Cottle dissector or nasal speculum, the nasal cavity is inspected and packing is introduced with patties soaked in 1:1000 epinephrine (Fig. 68). Note that this should be done prior to patient draping, and placed exactly in the middle meatus or between the middle turbinates and...
septum to create more space by reducing mucosal inflammation (Fig. 69). A submucosal infiltration of the nasal mucosa is not recommended since this often causes a rebound effect with increased mucosal bleeding at the end of the procedure. The abdominal para-umbilical region is also routinely disinfected with an iodine solution (Betadine®) in case a fat graft is required (Fig. 67 B). After nasal packing and disinfection, taping is completed. We do not tape the eyes, so that they can regularly be examined during surgery. This guarantees control of the orbital content, including pupillomotor function (Figs. 60A, 67A, 73).

Fig. 67 A, B  After positioning, facultative installation of neuronavigation and intraoperative imaging, the midface including the forehead and periorbital region is disinfected with uncolored aqueous chlorhexidine liquid (Merfen®) solution (A). Careful disinfection of the abdominal para-umbilical region with an iodine solution (Betadine®) is used in case a fat graft is required (B).

Fig. 68 The nasal cavity is inspected with external illumination and also disinfected carefully. Using a Cottle dissector or nasal speculum, epinephrine-soaked patties are introduced to create more space by reducing mucosal inflammation.

Fig. 69 Initial endoscopic appearance of the right nasal cavity demonstrating narrow spaces (A). After exact placement of epinephrine-soaked patties (B), mucosal inflammation can be effectively reduced, thus supporting endoscopic dissection. Note unimpeded visualization of the middle turbinate (C). Deviating the first minutes of the procedure to carefully reducing mucosal inflammation is of particular importance in avoiding later frustration because of blurred visualization of the bloody-coated endoscope. In addition, preventing mucosal damage is vital to avoid later crusting and nasal adhesions!
The role of navigation and intraoperative imaging

In most cases, anatomical landmarks allow safe orientation during endoscopic skull base surgery; however, in some cases, the anatomy of the nasal cavity, paranasal sinuses and the skull base is confused causing complicated orientation (Table II). The following difficulties require intraoperative navigation: If a conchal sella type is present without pneumatization of the sphenoid sinus, drilling of the skull base and opening of the sellar floor is not without severe problems. Extended tumors with parasellar, intrasphenoidal or even intranasal expansion can also cause reduced orientation because of significant loss in anatomical alignment. Hormonally active microadenomas can cause difficulties in orientation if an extensive sinusoidal bleeding occurs, and, especially in re-do surgeries, scarring of the sellar floor can impede orientation. In these cases, the intraoperative use of a navigation device is essential to avoid damage to neurovascular structures. In addition, intraoperative imaging may increase surgical safety, thus complementing the endoscope’s direct visualization in hidden parts of the surgical field (Fig. 70, 71).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table II. Indications for neuronavigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conchal sella type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extended tumour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microadenomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-do surgery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 70 Screenshots of image-guided endoscopic transsphenoidal surgery. In the first case, the tip of the endoscope is navigated, achieving additional control in surgical orientation. Note the use of integration of video signal on the navigation panel (StealthStation, Medtronic, Minneapolis, USA). In the second case, an intraoperative CT scan is used, showing partial conchal sella type; previous surgery with scarring of the sellar floor necessitated the image-guided approach. Note the use of bone window and contrast assisted CT angiography; tumor tissue was marked preoperatively (BrainLAB, Feldkirchen, Germany).

Fig. 71 Neuronavigation-guided endoscopic skull base surgery. Note the use of the navigated endoscope for real time control during surgery.
Surgical technique

General hints
After 1) the general preparation and positioning of the patient, 2) optional installation of navigation, 3) draping and 4) final control of the endoscopic equipment, the vasoconstrictor patties are removed and the procedure can begin.

The neurosurgeon remains beside the patient, usually on the right, allowing ergonomic handling with the endoscope. The co-working surgeon is on the same or the opposite side, the scrub nurse on the right side of the surgeon. The camera equipment, monitor and light source are placed in front of the surgeon for optimal control of the procedure. Modifications of this layout are of course possible depending on the individual case and the surgeon’s own practice (Fig. 72).

Fig. 72 A Illustrations of possible set-ups in the operating room when performing endoscopic transtheroid surgery. In the “face-to-face position”, surgeons remain on the opposite side of the patient (A). Two separate endoscopic monitors allow for relaxed observation both for the surgeon as well as for the assistant (blue arrows).

Fig. 72 B, C Photo (B) and illustration (C) showing the preferred Hirslanden set-up, utilizing the comfortable side-by-side layout. The navigation and endoscope monitors are placed opposite the operating surgeons. The scrub nurse is also on the opposite side, an additional endoscope monitor is placed for optimal control of the procedure.
The surgeon usually initiates the procedure single-handedly in the right nostril, holding the endoscope in one hand, usually the left; instruments are used with the other hand. The endoscope is placed in the superior edge of the nostril in a 12 o'clock position; the instruments should be introduced inferiorly in the 6 o'clock position, thus providing more space for manipulation (Fig. 73). If necessary, the co-operating surgeon can help with the sucker, thus cleaning the field, or can hold the endoscope assisting in a three- or four-hand technique (Fig. 74). It is easier to use a 0° endoscope for most operations, which provides an overview from the central part of the surgical area. We use angled endoscopes only in the later course of the surgery, to check hidden parts of the field.

Important: do not rush in the first part of the operation! The saying “more speed, less haste” is never more true than when performing endoscopic skull base surgery. Transnasal endoscopy requires patient dissection with careful inspection of the nasal cavity, thus avoiding mucosal lacerations and unnecessary bony fractures. In addition, a controlled start gives enough time for the topical decongestant patties to work.

Care taken during the first 15 minutes of the procedure is a good investment as it avoids the frustration of the scope becoming coated with blood and reduces the risk of approach-related trauma of the nasal cavity. Note, that prevention of mucosal damage is essential to avoid later crusting and nasal adhesions! Stay back with the endoscope to provide more overview and reduce bloodspatter and secretions on the tip of the endoscope. Use the endoscope irrigation to clean the scope and keep the nasal mucosa moist. Note that crusted blood on the endoscope may lacerate the mucosa: clean the instruments frequently! Instruments should be introduced ahead of the endoscope to avoid blindly scuffing the anterior part of the nasal cavity. The permanent movement of the endoscope increases three-dimensional perception and supports further anatomical orientation on important landmarks.
Nasal part
At first, critical anatomical landmarks of the nasal cavity are identified. The best marker is the inferior turbinate (Fig. 75 A). Below the inferior turbinate, along the inferior nasal meatus, the choana is approached checking the epipharynx with the characteristic structures of the Rosenmüller gap, torus tubarius and the Eustachian tube (Fig. 75 B). Thereafter, the endoscope is moved upwards and the middle turbinate is visualized (Fig. 75 C). After gentle medialization of the middle turbinate, the middle meatus is observed with the characteristic uncinate process and ethmoidal bulla (Fig. 75 D). Next, the sphenopalatine foramen is recognized just medially from the tail of the middle turbinate, thus controlling the sphenopalatine artery (Fig. 75 E). The middle turbinate is then pushed firmly laterally and the endoscope is introduced along its anterior inferior border, exposing the sphenethmoidal recess (Fig. 76 A). Here, the anterior aperture of the sphenoid sinus can be visualized just medially from the superior turbinate (Fig. 76 B).

Gentle dissection of the mucosa is of particular importance. Do not grab anything that you cannot see clearly and stop further dissection if visibility is poor! Either work on the other side or replace the vasoconstrictor patties. This effective temporary repacking can also be used to supplement, lateralization of the middle turbinate. Note that destructive fracturing of the turbinate may cause damage to the skull base and risk a subsequent CSF fistula; this should therefore be avoided at all costs. In rare circumstances, if a concha bullosa is present, partial lateral resection of the turbinate with anterior ethmoidectomy may be necessary to gain sufficient space in the nasal cavity. For this reason, surgical equipment should contain adequate nasal cutting and grasping instruments including antrum punches. We strongly advise not removing the middle turbinate routinely as it is not necessary for adequate exposure. With complete resection, postoperative difficulties such as crusting and delayed epistaxis become more prominent. In our opinion, performing middle turbinate resection in all cases casts doubt on the minimally invasive nature of the endoscopic approach as compared to the microscopic technique.

There are two different possibilities for reaching the sphenoid sinus: the medial transnasal and lateral tranethmoidal approaches (Fig. 77).

**Fig. 75** Nasal part of the approach (right side). After reducing inflammation of the mucosa, the inferior turbinate and floor of the nasal cavity are exposed (A). Along the inferior meatus, the epipharynx is approached; the torus tubarius is gently lateralized, demonstrating the Rosenmüller gap (B). The endoscope is now retracted into the nasal cavity, showing the head of the middle turbinate (C). After gentle medialization of the turbinate, the middle meatus can be recognized with typical landmarks of the uncinate process and ethmoidal bulla (D). In close up, the sphenopalatine foramen can be localized, just medially from the tail of the middle turbinate (E).
Lateral transtymoidal approach to the sphenoid sinus

We recommend using the transtymoidal approach for tumors that are located laterally (Fig. 77). The aim of transtymoidal exposure is to reach the lateral aspect of the sphenoid sinus with direct visualization of the carotid artery and optocarotid recess. Using this technique, the middle turbinate is not lateralized, but medialized, thus observing the middle meatus. Here, the uncinate process and bulla ethmoidalis are recognized. The uncinate process is incised with a curved knife (Fig. 78A), thus exposing the medial aspect of the maxillary sinus. After removing the uncinate process and ethmoid bulla with grasping instruments (Fig. 78B), the posterior ethmoid cells are approached to visualize the posterior ethmoid artery (Fig. 79A). Now, the posterior wall of the maxillary sinus, palatine bone and sphenopalatine junction are recognized (Fig. 79B, C). Here, the mucosa is dissected from the bony surface, controlling the sphenopalatine artery on the anteroinferior wall of the sphenoid sinus (Fig. 79C). The anterior wall of the sphenoid sinus is opened, entering the sinus chamber in its lateral part (Fig. 79 D).

**Fig. 78** Steps of the lateral transtymoidal approach (right side). Firstly, the middle turbinate is gently medialized, approaching the middle meatus. Here, the uncinate process is incised with a curved knife and removed with grasping instruments (A). With resection of the bulla, the ethmoid cells are opened (B).
Clear advantage of the transethmoidal technique is that the olfactory mucosa remains absolutely intact. If needed, this limited lateral approach can be extended and combined with transnasal exposure, thus creating an extended exposure of the central skull base, which is required for large tumors (Fig. 79 D).

Medial transnasal approach to the sphenoid sinus

By the commonly used medial transnasal technique, the skull base is reached in the midline (Figs. 76, 80). Exposing the sphenethmoidal recess, the superior turbinate is identified and pushed gently to the side to expose the sphenoid aperture (Fig. 76A,B). Ensure that the mucosa in the sphenethmoidal region remains intact, thus avoiding postoperative olfactory loss! Frequently, the ostium is covered by mucosa but it can be palpated easily with an instrument such as the suction tube. Note that in some cases a small air bubble indicates the sphenoid opening, always medial from the superior turbinate, approx. 1.5 cm above the choana. Medial and basal from the aperture, the mucosa must be coagulated to prevent bleeding from the septal artery. This coagulation must be restricted inferiorly and medially from the aperture to prevent postoperative anosmia (Fig. 80A). Note that considerable space can be gained within the sphenethmoid recess using vasoconstrictor patties and coagulation. Thereafter, the anterior wall of the sphenoid sinus is opened with a diamond drill (Fig. 80 B); for this part, a fine chisel or Kerrison punches can also be used. The endoscope is now introduced into the sphenoid sinus, allowing early recognition of vital structures of the central skull base. Care should be taken to avoid extensive coagulation and damage to the superior turbinate and upper sphenethmoidal recess as this leads to postoperative anosmia! Thereafter, a wide anterior sphenoidotomy is performed by removing the junction of the sphenoid rostrum and posterior nasal septum. At this point, the left naris is accessed and the approach is completed on the contralateral side in a similar manner. A critical stage of the nasal approach is the resection of the posterior 0.5 cm of the nasal septum. A reverse cutting antrum punch can be used effectively for this (Fig. 80 C). This creates a bilateral cavity, allowing visually controlled free maneuverability of instruments in the deep surgical field without a nasal speculum. The endoscope is now introduced in the sphenoid sinus, achieving a panoramic view on the central skull base including the optic nerves, carotid arteries, sellar floor and the clivus (Fig. 80 D).

Sphenoid part

After reaching the sphenoid sinus through the medial transnasal or lateral transethmoidal approach, anatomical landmarks of the central skull base can now be identified. The appearance of the main structures depends on the pneumatization of the sphenoid bones; however, in most cases, the sellar floor, clivus, sphenoid planum, optic nerves, carotid arteries, the lat-

Fig. 79 Steps of the lateral transethmoidal approach (continuation). After partial ethmoidectomy, the posterior ethmoid cells are visualized (A). Inferiorly, the sphenopalatine junction is approached (B). After removal of the important triangle-formed bony landmark, we can see into the lateral sphenoid sinus. Note its relation to the maxillary sinus and posterior ethmoid cells (C). With stepwise removal of the anterior wall of the sphenoid sinus, the central skull base can be fully visualized (D).
eral and medial optocarotid spaces can be seen allowing a perfect anatomically based point of reference (Fig. 80D). The sphenoid septum can be removed with a diamond drill or grasping instruments should it be present and pose hindrance (Fig. 81A). The sphenoid mucosa is separated in the central field of action; if the sinus is to be packed with an abdominal fat graft, the mucosa should be removed completely. Under secure visual control, the sellar floor is opened with a diamond drill and the opening enlarged with fine Kerrison punches. If a thin sellar floor is present, we recommend an "open door" aperture of the sella, thus making later reconstruction simple (Fig. 81B). With the nasal cavity the biportal access, introduction and removal of instruments can be performed without hindrance and without the need for a nasal speculum. Anatomical orientation may be limited due to the shape of the conchal sella, significant intra- and parasellar tumor extension and, especially, as a result of re-do surgeries. These cases are a particular surgical challenge and careful study of pre-operative CT and MRI images should already point out eventual difficulties and the use of intraoperative neuronavigation is mandatory. After opening the sellar floor, the dura is opened with fine scissors or a microknife and the intrasellar lesion is removed with different curettes (Fig. 81C).

Fig. 80 Steps of the medial transnasal approach (right side). After lateralization of the middle and superior turbinates, the sphenoid ostium appears. Inferiorly of the ostium, the mucosa is carefully coagulated, thus avoiding bleeding of the sphenopalantine artery (A). Thereafter, the ostium is enlarged with a diamond drill (B). A critical stage is the resection of the posterior 0.5 cm of the nasal septum; a reverse cutting punch can be used effectively for this (C). After anterior sphenoidotomy and posterior septectomy, the endoscope is introduced into the sphenoid sinus. Note the optic nerve and carotid prominence on both sides and the clivus. In the central field of interest, the sphenoid floor appears (D).

Fig. 81 Sphenoid part of the approach. In a secure visual control, sphenoid septations are removed with a diamond drill and grasping instruments (A). The sellar floor is opened; in this case we used the "open door" technique, fracturing the thin bony sellar floor (B). After wide exposure, the sellar dura is incised with fine microscissors (C).
Sellar part
Tumor removal is visually well controlled (Fig. 82). Principally, tumor resection should be started in the basal-clival part, then bilaterally (Fig 82 A). Using angled scopes, hidden parts of the surgical field can be detected, allowing safe resection. After partial resection of the tumor, the central and suprasellar tumor tissue should be removed, avoiding opening of the diaphragma sellae (Fig. 82 B). If possible, the tumor capsule should be recognized anteriorly and followed carefully backwards without opening the diaphragma sellae (Fig. 82 C). Injury of the pituitary gland can be avoided with the use of small patties. After removal of the main tumor, hidden parts can be visualized with an angled endoscope, thus controlling complete resection (Fig. 82 D). If localized bleeding occurs, we use well directed bipolar coagulation with a pivot-point coagulator. In case of diffuse venous bleeding one can apply hemostatic matrix (FloSeal®), absorbable cellulose hemostatic material (Surgicel® fibrillar) or small pieces of hemocollagen sponge (Spongostan®). However, in the uneventful resection of an intrasellar tumor, we do not use intrasellar or intrasphenoidal synthetic substances and avoid packing with fat tissue. Instead, we use bone fragments for easy reconstruction of the sellar floor.

Para- and suprasellar part
The main advantage of the pure endoscopic technique is the direct visual investigation of hidden parts of the surgical field. Compared to blind tactile control with a microscope, the endoscopic surgeon is able to see patho-anatomical details which are always hidden for microsurgical resection. The 0° endoscope is used for most of the procedure; when the anatomy is not completely visualized and hidden parts of the field need to be adequately viewed, the 30° endoscope can be used. By introducing the angled endoscope into the depth, suprasellar and intracavernous structures can be directly visualized and tumor remnants attacked (Fig. 83). In complicated cases, intraoperative imaging may increase control of tumor removal.

Fig. 82 Sellar part of the approach. The tumor removal is started in the basal clival part (A). Before complete sinking of the diaphragma, bilateral intracavernous remnants should be removed under direct visual control (B). If possible, the tumor capsule should be recognized anteriorly and followed in a posterior direction (C). At the very least, laterally located parts should be checked with a 30° angled endoscope. In this case, the sellar diaphragm, pituitary gland and left internal carotid can be seen (D).

Fig. 83 Two different cases, demonstrating the advantages of the endoscopic technique. In case A, a cystic lesion was operated. Note the sellar diaphragm, left carotid artery and medial wall of the cavernous sinus. Case B demonstrates removal of a GH-producing adenoma. Behind the well controlled anterior knee of the right carotid artery (dotted line), the final remnants of the tumor are removed.
Sellar reconstruction and closure

In uneventful surgery and if no diaphragmal opening has occurred, special sellar reconstruction is not necessary and is therefore not recommended (Fig. 84). In cases of large or giant pituitary adenomas, we repair the sellar floor with Spongostan® or bony fragments to avoid intrasphenoidal herniation of the diaphragm with potential risk of cisternal rupture and later CSF fistula (Fig. 85). If CSF leakage occurs during surgery, different closure and reconstruction techniques can be applied. In the event of minor CSF leakage, we recommend defect covering with Tachocomb® and simple packaging of the resection cavity with Spongostan® (Fig. 86). In the event of a marked diaphragmal defect and high CSF loss, we supplement the carefully placed Tachocomb® sheet with an abdominal-periumbilical fat graft soaked in fibrin glue (Fig. 87). The graft is placed into the sella, the sellar floor is ideally closed with bony fragments avoiding migration of the graft. For this purpose, a tailored, bioabsorbent material can also be used. We never push big fat grafts blindly into the sella and usually do not fill the sphenoid sinus with fat tissue.

A particular surgical challenge is, however, to close a wide dural gap especially after extended approaches for removal of large skull base tumors or intradural lesions (Fig. 88A). In such cases, careful sheet-by-sheet closure is mandatory. In our practice, the first sheet is an “inlay” fascia layer, grafted from the abdominal fascia, covering the leak site intradurally (Fig. 88B). We suggest a 5-10 mm intracranial covering of the defect; if necessary and if technically possible, the inlay may be fixed with 6-10 sutures in situ. Thereafter, a second “onlay” fascia sheet is applied (Fig. 88C). In the ideal situation, this second fascia sheet lies between the dura and the bony skull base (Fig. 108). After application of fibrin glue, fat tissue is applied as a second “onlay” graft into the sphenoid sinus to cover and replace the dural defect. We do not recommend the use of a single large fat graft but rather multiple small pieces for precise covering. If fat tissue is used within the sphenoid sinus, the sphenoid mucosa should first be completely removed. Next, a previously prepared vascularized septomucosal flap is rotated into the sinus covering the skull base (Fig. 88D). This flap is harvested during the exposure and tucked into the nasopharynx during the procedure. Following resection, the flap is positioned to cover the defect, overlapping the margins to allow contact with the bone. The preserved vascularity of the flap, supplied by a septal branch of the sphenopalatine artery, is extremely important to promote faster healing and swifter, more resistant sealing. A fat graft is not appropriate or effective on the nasal side of the flap – here Spongostan® is used and the nasal cavity is packed. Experienced teams have described the use of a complex temporoparietal fascial flap for skull base reconstruction. However, in these cases the reason for such an extensive approach-related trauma should be considered critically.
After leaving the sphenoid sinus, both nasal cavities are inspected and cleansed of clotted blood and secretions. The middle turbinates are re-medialized. We emphasize a careful "overmedialization" to prevent closure of the semilunar hiatus and subsequent chronic inflammation of the maxillary sinus. With the endonasal biportal technique without transseptal dissection, a nasal tamponade is not necessary, thus limiting breathing problems and discomfort after surgery. We only use temporary packing for 48 hours if a septonasal flap was used for an extended approach, thus stabilizing the skull base reconstruction. We never use a Foley catheter balloon for minimizing graft migration, as has been described by other groups.

Opinions vary, even among experienced practitioners, as to the necessity of lumbar drainage in the case of intraoperative CSF leakage or postoperative fistula. In the course of our early learning curve we used lumbar drainage in cases of postoperative CSF fistula; however, we now no longer use a lumbar drain on account of the potential risk of meningitis and severe pneumocephalus. Rather, we suggest re-do surgery if the fistula is persistent postoperatively and no spontaneous closure develops. Here, re-evaluation of the intraoperative videotape can be very helpful in identifying the surgical failure.

**Postoperative care**

In the case of uneventful surgery, postoperative intensive care is not necessary; our patients are observed for 6 hours in the intermediate care unit. After intraoperative single-dose antibiotics, postoperative antibiotic agents are not used routinely. Perioperative hydrocortisone substitution is used in most pituitary operations; other hormonal treatment depends on the individual case.

Careful interdisciplinary treatment is essential after the operation (Tab. III). On the first daypost op, an early MRI is performed, checking for tumor resection. On the same day, the otorhinolaryngologist examines the patient. The nasal cavity is observed endoscopically with careful removal of secretion and deflation of the mucosa. The key task is to irrigate stagnant mucus and altered blood and stop it from collecting on the lining of the paranasal sinuses until ciliary function has returned. Saline nasal sprays and crème are used to prevent drying out of the nasal cavity; ideally, the patients are supervised in a douching technique of the nose before they are discharged. This ensures that patients are able to breathe through the nose, even in the early postoperative course, with significantly increased comfort. Patients are also carefully supervised by the clinical endocrinologist with daily testing of electrolyte balance and osmolality (Tab. IV). In the early postoperative course, diabetes insipidus-induced serum hypernatremia and hyper-osmolality, in the later course SIADH-induced serum hypernatremia and hypo-osmolality must be excluded. Basal hormone investigation is

---

**Fig. 88** Large clival defect after removal of a skull base chordoma. Note the brain stem and basilar artery in the background (A). As a first layer of reconstruction, an inlay of fascia lata is used (B). Therefore, an onlay fascia sheet (C) and small pieces of fat tissue are applied. The previously dissected septomucosal flap is then positioned to cover the defect, overlapping the margins with contact to normal tissue.
Table III. Interdisciplinary perioperative care of patients at Hirslanden Hospital, Zurich

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preoperative</th>
<th>Day of surgery</th>
<th>1st POD</th>
<th>2nd – 3rd POD</th>
<th>7th POD</th>
<th>6th POW</th>
<th>3rd POM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NS, RA, RS, EC, OP</td>
<td>6 hours IMCU</td>
<td>NS, RS, RA</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS, RS, EC</td>
<td>EC</td>
<td>NS, RA, RS, EC, OP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EC: endocrinology; IMCU: intermediate care unit; NS: neurosurgery; OP: ophthalmology; POD: postoperative day; POM: postoperative month; POW: postoperative week; RA: radiology; RS: rhinosurgery;

Table IV. Algorithm for endocrine clinical observation, laboratory check-ups and routine medication in pituitary surgery at Hirslanden Hospital, Zurich

Preoperative

L: Endocrine screening incl. baseline and functional tests
   Serum Na, K, Osm, Crea, BC, coagulation, GPT, GOT; Urine Na, Osm

Perioperative

O: 6 h IMCU, intake/output documentation
   L: Serum Na, K, Osm, Crea, BC; Urine Na, Osm, SG
   M: HC 50-100 mg i.v. during surgery, 100 mg i.v./24h postoperatively

1st POD

O: Clinical observation every 4h, intake - output documentation, weight control
   L: Serum Na, K, Osm, Crea, BC; Urine Na, Osm, SG
   M: HC 50-100 mg i.v./24h

2nd – 3rd POD

O: Clinical observation 3/day, intake - output documentation, weight control
   L: Serum Na, K, Osm, Crea, BC; Urine Na, Osm, SG
   M: HC 10-25 mg p.o./24h

3rd POD

Routine discharge

7th POD

L: Baseline endocrine test; Serum Na, K, Osm, Crea, BC; Urine Na, Osm, SG

6th POW

L: Baseline and functional endocrine test; Serum Na, K, Osm, Crea, BC; Urine Na, Osm, SG

Abbr.: BC: blood count; Crea: Creatinine; HC: hydrocortisone; L: laboratory test; O: observation; Osm: osmolality; M: routine medication; POD: postoperative day; POW: postoperative week; SG: specific gravity (Courtesy of Dr. Hans-Christian Geiss, Hirslanden Hospital Zurich)

Performed on the 7th day and 6 weeks after surgery to verify the possible need for hormone substitution. Further monitoring with functional investigation of the pituitary gland and hormone treatment depends on the individual case.

Three months after surgery, outpatient checkups are performed, including radiological, rhinological, endocrinological, ophthalmological and surgical examinations.
Illustrative Cases

CASE I
Hormonally inactive macroadenoma
Approach: Combined biportal transnasal-transethmoidal

Background
This 41-year-old male patient suffered from general lethargy and visual disturbances for over a year. A general physical and ophthalmological examination could not explain the cause of his discomfort. Urological exploration following fertility concerns was also without pathological findings. After a long and frustrating history, his hormonal status was investigated thoroughly and revealed a partial pituitary malfunction without hormonal excess. A subsequent MRI scan revealed a large pituitary adenoma with marked compression of the optic chiasm (Fig. 90). On the right side, the tumor ruptured the diaphragm sellae with displacement of the hypothalamus and medial basal ganglia. A revised ophthalmological examination showed severe visual field deficits (Fig. 89). Urgent treatment was indicated; surgery was performed with otorhinological assistance, an intraoperative CT was used for monitoring tumor removal.

Fig. 89 Preoperative visual field investigation showing severe deficit on the left side (A) and functional blindness on the right side (B). Courtesy of Dr. Luc Moudon and Dr. Serge Hédiguer, Lausanne

Fig. 90 T1 MRI scans on the coronal (A), sagittal (B) and axial (C) plane demonstrating a large pituitary adenoma. On the right side, the tumor ruptured the diaphragm sellae with displacement of the hypothalamus and medial basal ganglia.
Surgery

After positioning, a CT scan was performed showing the exact tumor location (Fig. 91 A). The sphenoid sinus was reached using a biportal technique, performing a combined transethmoidal-transnasal approach with wide exposure of the sphenoid sinus. The thin sellar floor was removed with Kerrison punches and the dura incised. The basal and lateral parts of the tumor were first removed, exploring the clivus and cavernous sinus on both sides. From the outset it was possible to visualize the carotid artery directly on the right side. After removal of the main part of the adenoma, an intraoperative CT scan was performed (Fig. 91 B). Here, successful decompression of the optic structures could be seen; however, tumor remnants were detected in the left cavernous sinus and right suprasellar. The intracavernous tumor was easy to remove; however, on the right side, in a fold of the diaphragm, a tiny remnant had to be left to avoid high-risk manipulation (Figs. 92, 93).
Postoperative course

The patient made a proper recovery with marked visual improvement (Fig. 95). His hormonal dysfunction was treated medically. Three months after surgery, an MRI scan showed minimal tumor remnants with complete optic decompression (Fig. 94). At the present date, one year after surgery, “wait and see” management is recommended due to the unchanged tumor size in MRI controls and improvement both in visual and pituitary function with only gonadotrophin malfunction.

**Postoperative course**

The patient made a proper recovery with marked visual improvement (Fig. 95). His hormonal dysfunction was treated medically. Three months after surgery, an MRI scan showed minimal tumor remnants with complete optic decompression (Fig. 94). At the present date, one year after surgery, “wait and see” management is recommended due to the unchanged tumor size in MRI controls and improvement both in visual and pituitary function with only gonadotrophin malfunction.

**Fig. 94** MRI scans showing an axial (A), sagittal (B) and coronal (C) view three months postoperatively, demonstrating acceptable resection with minimal residuum. Note the decompressed optic chiasm, stalk and the pituitary gland right intrasellar.

**Postoperative course**

The patient made a proper recovery with marked visual improvement (Fig. 95). His hormonal dysfunction was treated medically. Three months after surgery, an MRI scan showed minimal tumor remnants with complete optic decompression (Fig. 94). At the present date, one year after surgery, “wait and see” management is recommended due to the unchanged tumor size in MRI controls and improvement both in visual and pituitary function with only gonadotrophin malfunction.

**Postoperative course**

The patient made a proper recovery with marked visual improvement (Fig. 95). His hormonal dysfunction was treated medically. Three months after surgery, an MRI scan showed minimal tumor remnants with complete optic decompression (Fig. 94). At the present date, one year after surgery, “wait and see” management is recommended due to the unchanged tumor size in MRI controls and improvement both in visual and pituitary function with only gonadotrophin malfunction.

**Fig. 95** Visual field investigations 3 weeks (A), 3 months (B) and 9 months (C) after operation with marked visual improvement according to the effective optic decompression.

**Fig. 93** ICT revealed a tumor remnant in the left cavernous sinus and right suprasellar, therefore surgery was continued (Fig. 91B). The intracavernous part could be easily eradicated (A). However, on the right side, in a wrinkle of the diaphragm, a small remnant could not be removed, avoiding high-risk manipulation (B). Repeated CT excluded intracranial complication with effective suprasellar decompression and acceptable tumor remnant (Fig. 91C), therefore surgery was finished. Note the undamaged mucosa in the sphenoidophalil recess and the intact diaphragm in the background (C). In this case the sellar floor was reconstructed with Tachosil® and Spongostan®, without using an abdominal fat graft.
CASE II
Intrasellar GH macroadenoma
Approach: left-sided uninostril transethmoidal

Background
This 34-year-old female patient suffered from diffuse joint pain and listlessness. On diagnostic assessment, acromegalic stigmata were conspicuous. Endocrinological investigation confirmed the diagnosis with elevated GH and IGF-I level with paradox response to an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT). Functional testing showed normal corticotrophin function but insufficiency of the gonadotrophin and thyreotrophin axis. Cardiological investigation excluded a cardiomegaly by normal action of the heart.

MRI of the brain showed a left intrasellar macroadenoma with displacement of the pituitary gland to posterior and right lateral without invasion of the cavernous sinus (Fig. 96). On account of the increasing symptoms and MRI scan, indication for surgery was given.

Fig. 96 Contrast enhanced T1w MRI on the axial (A), sagittal (B) and coronar (C) plane showing a left intrasellar macroadenoma with compression of the pituitary gland and displacement of the stalk. The medial wall of the cavernous sinus is compressed; however, no cavernous invasion can be seen in the coronar view.
Preoperative CT showed a slight septal deviation to the right and a severe inflammation of the mucosa when suffering with a bad cold (Fig. 97). In this situation surgery was cancelled. Nevertheless, after strong antibiotics and local nasal steroids, the patient’s condition improved and the elective surgery could be performed in a neuro-rhinosurgical cooperation.

**Surgery**

Due to the septal deviation, endoscopic inspection of the nose revealed asymmetric nasal cavities. Therefore, we performed a unilateral transethmoidal approach to the sphenoid sinus, thus achieving sufficient exposure of the central skull base. The sellar floor was opened with a diamond drill, the tumor was immediately visible. The tumor capsule was then recognized in the anterior sella and followed backwards with gentle dissection. The pituitary gland could be clearly identified and protected using cottonoid patties. The tumor could be removed in toto without CSF leakage or injury to the hypophysis (Fig. 98).

Fig. 97 *Bone CT scans in coronar (A) and axial (B) view showing severe mucosal inflammation, thus making elective transnasal surgery impossible. Note the septal deviation.*

Fig. 98 *After conservative treatment with antibiotics and nasal steroids, transnasal surgery was uneventful. Due to the septal deviation, the left nasal cavity offered more space for dissection. Therefore, the central skull base was approached via a unilateral transethmoidal approach (A). The sellar floor was opened and the whitish tumor tissue mobilized (B). The tumor capsule could be defined using sensitive patties (C), thus allowing complete capsular resection (D). At the end of surgery, the medial wall of the left cavernous sinus was visualized with 30° endoscope (E). Note the reddish tissue of the pituitary gland; in this case, no special reconstruction was performed.*
Postoperative course
The patient made an uneventful recovery. Six weeks after surgery, hormonal investigation showed no residual activity with normal response in OGTT and unchanged pituitary function. Nasal airflow improved as a result of the normal GH-level and atraumatic surgical technique. No visual disturbances occurred. Three months later, MRI revealed complete tumor resection with cosmetic improvement to the patient (Fig. 99).
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**Fig. 99** MRI three months after surgery without evidence of residual tumor (A). Note the patient’s photograph before (B) and three months after successful treatment (C), published with patient’s permission.
CASE III
Recurrent ACTH microadenoma
Approach: Binostir transnasal

Background
This 51-year-old female suffered from Cushing disease caused by an ACTH-producing microadenoma (Fig. 101). Initial surgery was performed in an external hospital using the traditional microsurgical technique. Postoperative hormonal assessment revealed unchanged ACTH secretion; there was no other hormonal or visual deficit. An MRI scan showed a residual intrasellar tumor, located posteriorly and on the right side (Fig. 100). Due to a partial conchal sella type and previously performed operation, re-do surgery was planned with the intraoperative use of neuronavigation (Fig. 102).

Fig. 100  T1w MRI after gadolinium in coronar (A) and sagittal (B) view with assumed residual tumor right intrasellar (arrow).

Fig. 101  Patient’s photograph with signs of Cushing disease.

Fig. 102  The use of neuronavigation was obligatory in this case. Note the exact localization of the right intrasellar residual tumor.
Surgery
A marked septal perforation could be seen, which resulted from the previous surgery. The anterior wall of the sphenoid sinus was opened on the right side; the left sphenoidal recess was not destroyed, allowing anatomical orientation in the nasal cavity. The sphenoid sinus, however, showed marked adhesions and scarring of the sellar floor, which complicated the endoscopic orientation. After completion of the binostriil approach, the scarred floor of the sella was re-opened with a diamond drill using a navigation-guided technique. Neuronavigation was essential for a precisely targeted approach. After sufficient opening, the pituitary gland was reached and the tumor tissue identified on the right side. A small remnant behind the gland could also be removed successfully (Fig. 103).

**Fig. 103** Despite perfect endoscopic visualization of the central skull base, anatomical orientation was impossible, thus making navigation-guided opening of the sellar floor obligatory (A). After sufficient opening, the dura was opened with fine scissors (B) and the pituitary gland gently mobilized to the left (C). On the right side, it was possible to successfully localize (D) and completely remove (E) the tumor.

Postoperative course
The patient made an uneventful recovery with no nasal airflow problems. Postoperative MRI and hormonal investigation showed no evidence of residual tumor with normal pituitary function (Fig. 104). No visual disturbances occurred.

**Fig. 104** Contrast enhanced T1w scan in coronar view reveals no tumor remnant (A). Patient’s photo one year after surgery shows obvious changes resulting from to the successful surgery (B, published with patient’s permission).
CASE IV  
Intra- and suprasellar macroadenoma  
Approach: Combined transcranial – transnasal surgery

Background  
This 17-year-old female patient presented with decreased visual acuity and a bitemporal hemianopia, more on the right side. Endocrinologically there was a high IGF-1 level with mild symptoms of acromegaly. An MRI scan showed an enlarged sella with a macroadenoma with suprasellar extension that clearly showed a no longer encapsulated part on the right side, encasing the right anterior cerebral artery (Fig. 105). Because of the hormonal activity, the objective of the planned surgery was complete resection of the tumor. However, it was considered that it would be more hazardous to try to remove that part using only a transnasal approach, so we decided to combine that approach with a supraorbital craniotomy and to do that simultaneously during the same surgical procedure (Fig. 106).

Fig. 105  MRI scans in a coronar (A, B) and sagittal (C, D) view demonstrating an enlarged sellar floor caused by a GH-macroadenoma with suprasellar extension. Note that the tumor is no longer encapsulated, encasing the right anterior cerebral artery (A, B).

Fig. 106  Intraoperative set up, performing simultaneous transcranial–transnasal surgery.
Surgery
The procedure commenced with the nasal part. After preparation of both nostrils, the ostia were interconnected to a wide bilateral sphenotomy by removing part of the vomer. The sella was opened using a high-speed drill, the dura was incised and a rather more firm grayish adenoma was removed.

Subsequently, a right supraorbital craniotomy was performed. After eyebrow skin incision, a frontolateral bone flap was created measuring 2.5 x 2 cm. The dura was opened curvilinear, exposing the suprasellar cisterns. The adenoma had pushed the optic nerves and chiasm upwards and backwards and, as expected, a non-capsulated part of the adenoma was found here which fully encased the right anterior cerebral artery. Under endoscope-assisted microscopic vision, this part of the tumor could be dissected safely.

Then, working simultaneously transnasally and transcranially, the remaining part of the adenoma, including the whole capsule, was removed completely. The remaining normal part of the pituitary gland could be clearly identified. The sella was filled with an umbilical fat pad soaked in fibrin glue. The sellar floor was then closed with some Spongostan® followed by thorough rinsing from above. No fluid could be detected by endoscopic inspection from below. After closure of the supraorbital craniotomy, the endoscope was retracted out of the sphenoid sinus; no nasal tamponade and no lumbar drain were used (Fig. 107).

Figs. 107 The combined operation started with the nasal part and the grayish adenoma was partially removed (A). Then, a right supraorbital craniotomy was performed, approaching the optic nerve and suprasellar region (B). As expected, the non-capsulated part of the adenoma was found here which fully encased the right anterior cerebral artery. Note the picture-in-picture mode, showing the simultaneously performed procedure through the transnasal (C) and transcranial approaches (D, E).
**Postoperative course**

The postoperative course was uneventful and no CSF leakage occurred. On the second postoperative day, the MRI scan showed removal of the adenoma and the fat tissue in place (Fig. 108 A). Endocrinologically, the IGF-1 level normalized with all other pituitary functions intact. An MRI scan 3 months later showed the pituitary stalk to be in a midline position and normal appearance of the hypophysis with proper re-pneumatization of the sphenoid sinus (Fig. 108 B, C, D).

![MRI scans](image)

**Fig. 108** T1w MRI scans in sagittal view two days (A) and three months (B) after surgery. Contrast assisted T1w (C) and T2w (D) MRI scans in coronar view show the pituitary gland to be in a normal midline position.
TRansssphenoidal ENDoscopy: the TRENDS-setting equipment

Considering recent publications on transsphenoidal surgery, it is clear: TRansssphenoidal ENDoscopy is TRENDS-setting! However, this endoscopic technique is not in routine use everywhere and neurosurgeons are often reluctant to use it. The cause of this aversion is the steep learning curve. Permanent contamination of the endoscope with blood and nasal secretions causes difficult orientation and, without a nasal speculum, endonasal dissection is a mystery to neurosurgeons. In addition, para-endoscopic and biportal dissection is very unfamiliar.

As described previously, prerequisites for transsphenoidal endoscopy include basic endoscopic experience and anatomical studies in the laboratory. In addition, fruitful co-operation with an otorhinological surgeon experienced in endoscopy may lessen the initial frustration. It is, however, an undisputable fact that the best endoscopic equipment also shortens the difficult learning phase. The endoscope for transsphenoidal skull base surgery must combine brilliant optics with practical and user-friendly application during surgery.

To meet these requirements, the TRENDS transsphenoidal endoscope was developed through the international co-operation of experienced endoscopic neurosurgeons, to offer the following features:

1. Superior optical quality for optimal visualization
2. An optimal suction-irrigation device for effective cleaning of the scope
3. Ergonomic handling for relaxed application
4. Sufficient endoscope length for trouble-free application during extended approaches
5. Connection to a holding device for possible fixation
6. Connection to a navigation device for safe orientation of the endoscope
7. Highly sophisticated additional endoscope equipment for user-friendly operation
8. Dedicated surgical instruments for effective para-endoscopic dissection

1. The TRENDS endoscope has been meticulously developed to achieve exceptional image quality. The high-quality endoscope with a 4 mm diameter offers an undisturbed, true color and highly realistic view of structures. For unproblematic visualization of hidden parts of the surgical field, a variable application of 0° and 30° endoscopes is available (Figs. 109, 110).

2. In transsphenoidal surgery, most endoscopes do not provide adequate suction and irrigation near to the tip of the instrument. However, when us-
Fig. 109 Photograph showing the Aesculap TREND pituitary endoscope with a 4 mm diameter offering an undisturbed, true color and highly realistic view of structures. For direct visualization of hidden parts of the surgical field, a variable application of 0° and 30° endoscopes is available. For approaching deep-seated lesions, an effective length of the endoscope has been defined. Note specially created surgical instruments for transnasal use, allowing unimpeded, safe and effective endoscopic transnasal surgery.

Fig. 110 Photographs demonstrating the ergonomic grasping part of the endoscope. An efficient suction–irrigation device is incorporated where the valve is controlled simply with the index finger. In addition, the grasping part offers a quick connection of the endoscope to a holding arm and navigation device.
ing a pure endoscopic approach without a nasal speculum, the endoscope is permanently contaminated with blood and mucosal secretions, which limits the surgeon’s view. Continuous removal and introduction of the endoscope invokes additional damage to the nasal mucosa. Based on experience in transnasal surgery, the TREND endoscope was developed with an effective irrigation and suction device. Continuous suction avoids fogging of the endoscope in the warm, wet nasal cavity and immediately removes vapor and smoke. The intermittent irrigation can be effectively controlled with the index finger, offering useful additional cleaning of the optics and flushing of the surgical field (Figs. 110, 111). An essential and undisputable advantage of the TREND equipment!

3. Difficulties in the learning curve of transsphenoidal endoscopy are also caused by an additional problem, namely by inefficient endoscope systems. Uncomfortable handling causes uncontrolled and rough movements within the sensitive surgical field and, in addition, is tiring for the surgeon. The TREND endoscope effectively compensates for this drawback through its human-engineered grasping part. The surgeon holds the TREND endoscope as a fine microinstrument allowing precise manipulation. The efficient suction–irrigation device is also incorporated within the grasping part where the valve is controlled simply with the index finger. Moreover, the unique construction and perfect balance of the TREND endoscope provide a less tiring tool for the neurosurgeon (Fig. 111). In addition, the grasping part offers a quick connection of the endoscope to a holding arm (Figs. 112, 114).

4. The newly developed TREND endoscope should be able to meet the demands of extended skull base approaches. For approaching deep-seated lesions, an effective length of the endoscope has been defined: it is not too long to ensure efficient handling, but is long enough to be able to reach targets of the skull base and intradural space.

5. Bimanual, two-handed dissection forms the foundation of microneurosurgery and is also essential for transsphenoidal endoneurosurgery, especially during complicated skull base surgery. For this reason, after completing the biportal-binostril approach, the TREND endoscope can be easily fixed in a special holding arm: the endoscope placed through the other nostril does not disturb surgical dissection (Figs. 112, 114). Alternatively, the three- or four-handed technique can be used with a co-operating surgeon, thus also allowing trouble-free dissection (Fig. 113).
Fig. 111 Intraoperative use of the TRENDS endoscope. Note the ergonomic grasping part; the surgeon holds the endoscope as a fine microinstrument, allowing precise manipulation with perfect intraoperative balance.

Fig. 112 After creating the transnasal approach, the endoscope can be connected to a holding device. In this way, tumor removal can be performed bi-manually.

Fig. 113 The ergonomic endoscope design allows fruitful assistance of the cooperating surgeon, by permitting the three- or four-handed technique. In this case, surgeons stay face-to-face, allowing effective interplay. Advantage of the free hand technique is, that the scope can follow the instruments and focus dynamically on the field of interest. This continuous manipulation of the endoscope offers an additional feeling of three-dimensionality, thus making surgery safer particularly during the endoscopic learning curve.
6. As discussed above, anatomical landmarks allow safe orientation during endoscopic endonasal surgery. Additional, but not essential, employment of a C-arm may provide further verification for an exact and delicate dissection; it can be helpful especially during the learning curve. In some cases, however, the intranasal anatomy is confused, causing complicated orientation. Typical situations include a conchal sella (problematic orientation), extended tumors with parasellar, intrasphenoidal or even intranasal expansion (no anatomical alignment), hormonal active microadenomas (extensive sinusoidal bleeding), and re-do surgeries (scarring of the sellar floor). In these cases, the intraoperative use of a navigation device is recommended and frequently essential to avoid damage to neurovascular structures. The special construction of the TREND endoscope allows easy and uncomplicated connection to several navigation systems: the tip of the endoscope can then be steered with safe control of the surgical approach (Figs. 71, 111, 112, 113).

7. A highly sophisticated endoscope also needs related equipment. Recently, the intraoperative use of full high definition (HD) image quality has opened a new area in endoscopic neurosurgery with an increased range of indications in minimally invasive neurosurgery. The image quality of the full-HD camera and monitor system is markedly superior to that of a standard one- or three-chip camera unit and provides a five times higher optical resolution. This superior quality is particularly important in delicate situations, namely the differentiation of subtle structures and where scope vision is blurred. A typical situation in transsphenoidal surgery is to differentiate the pituitary gland from tumor tissue in a bloody surgical field. The enormous optical resolution of HD cameras needs optimal illumination of the field: high power xenon sources with cold light and ideal light cables are important for this reason. An effective recording system is also an important part of the equipment for documentation of the procedure, which is useful for scientific evaluation and teaching purposes. An ideal solution is a digital video system allowing user-friendly and rapid recording.

8. Surgical dissection along the endoscope requires special expertise and, for this reason, surgical instruments should also offer dedicated features. Slender transnasal microinstruments have been specially created to allow unimpeded introduction of the tool through the narrow nasal cavity (Figs. 109, 112, 113). These tube-shaft designed instruments and special curettes can be used in a much reduced operating corridor enabling safe manipulation within the limited surgical passage and clear visualization of the surgical field. A specially designed bipolar forceps completes the equipment: by additional forced closing, its knob reopens the tip of the scissors. In several cases, the use of these specially designed instruments is obligatory when operating through biportal approaches.
Fig. 114 Easy and user-friendly connection of the endoscope to the holding device. A pneumatic arm was used here.
The robustly fixed endoscope (A) is now placed in the left nostril (B) and the operation is continued through the right nasal cavity. The fixed endoscope does not disturb surgical dissection; note unlimited manipulation, using dedicated microinstruments.
### Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BA</td>
<td>basilar artery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT</td>
<td>Bertini's turbinate (concha sphenoidalis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
<td>clivus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN I</td>
<td>olfactory nerve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN II</td>
<td>optic nerve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN III</td>
<td>oculomotor nerve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN IV</td>
<td>trochlear nerve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN V/1</td>
<td>ophthalmic nerve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN VI</td>
<td>abducent nerve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP</td>
<td>carotid prominence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>cavernous sinus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA</td>
<td>ethmoid artery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB</td>
<td>ethmoid bulla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP</td>
<td>epipharynx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR</td>
<td>foramen rotundum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GL</td>
<td>Grubert’s ligament</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYP</td>
<td>pituitary gland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICA</td>
<td>internal carotid artery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>inferior turbinate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>maxillary sinus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT</td>
<td>middle turbinate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF</td>
<td>floor of the nasal cavity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>nasal septum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC</td>
<td>oral cavity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP</td>
<td>optic prominence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCoA</td>
<td>posterior communicating artery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEC</td>
<td>posterior ethmoid cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPG</td>
<td>pterygopalatine ganglion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>septal artery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>sellar diaphragm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF</td>
<td>sellar floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO</td>
<td>sphenoid ostium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOF</td>
<td>superior orbital fissure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>sphenoid planum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPA</td>
<td>sphenopalatine artey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPF</td>
<td>sphenopalatine foramen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPJ</td>
<td>sphenopalatine junction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td>sphenoid sinus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST</td>
<td>superior turbinate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA</td>
<td>tuba auditiva</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMT</td>
<td>tail of middle turbinate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>torus tubarius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TU</td>
<td>tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UP</td>
<td>uncinate process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC</td>
<td>Vidian’s pterygoid canal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>